



1
00:00:08,000 --> 00:00:04,460
good morning everybody

2
00:00:10,580 --> 00:00:08,010
as they just said my name's Christopher

3
00:00:13,490 --> 00:00:10,590
Smith and actually today's today's my

4
00:00:14,230 --> 00:00:13,500
birthday really excited about that thank

5
00:00:26,240 --> 00:00:14,240
you

6
00:00:28,429 --> 00:00:26,250
crazy that's probably wise so uh so

7
00:00:30,830 --> 00:00:28,439
anyways I'm here today to talk about a

8
00:00:32,120 --> 00:00:30,840
small experiment that was conducted as

9
00:00:36,799 --> 00:00:32,130
part of a course at the University of

10
00:00:38,060 --> 00:00:36,809
Colorado and the experiment predicted

11
00:00:39,920 --> 00:00:38,070
the daily outcome of the Dow Jones

12
00:00:42,740 --> 00:00:39,930
Industrial Average and we use 10

13
00:00:44,990 --> 00:00:42,750

experienced remote viewers and use the

14

00:00:46,310 --> 00:00:45,000

Associated no viewing protocol and as

15

00:00:48,650 --> 00:00:46,320

you can see seven out of seven trials

16

00:00:50,569 --> 00:00:48,660

were predicted successfully which is

17

00:00:55,510 --> 00:00:50,579

highly significant with less than 1%

18

00:00:59,540 --> 00:00:58,099

first let me talk about what the mode

19

00:01:01,130 --> 00:00:59,550

dealing is and I'm sure most of you here

20

00:01:03,799 --> 00:01:01,140

are familiar with it but for the sake of

21

00:01:05,270 --> 00:01:03,809

this talk I'm just going to define it as

22

00:01:07,550 --> 00:01:05,280

the ability to gather information about

23

00:01:10,399 --> 00:01:07,560

a target that is distant or unseen using

24

00:01:13,100 --> 00:01:10,409

only the mind and so then associated

25

00:01:14,359 --> 00:01:13,110

remote viewing or ARV would be a type of

26

00:01:16,370 --> 00:01:14,369

remote viewing or a remote viewing

27

00:01:18,499 --> 00:01:16,380

protocol that's used to predict the

28

00:01:21,410 --> 00:01:18,509

outcome of an event and usually this

29

00:01:23,240 --> 00:01:21,420

event is a binomial event meaning that

30

00:01:25,340 --> 00:01:23,250

there are two possible outcomes only one

31

00:01:29,210 --> 00:01:25,350

of which can come true like flipping a

32

00:01:32,149 --> 00:01:29,220

coin a little bit about some associative

33

00:01:34,789 --> 00:01:32,159

movie only background some of the

34

00:01:37,010 --> 00:01:34,799

earlier studies were Russell Targ sorry

35

00:01:39,440 --> 00:01:37,020

Russell Targ and Keith Ray and I

36

00:01:41,539 --> 00:01:39,450

predicted this set of features being

37

00:01:44,510 --> 00:01:41,549

very successful predicting nine other

38

00:01:45,950 --> 00:01:44,520

trials correctly out of nine and then

39

00:01:48,200 --> 00:01:45,960

there was how put-off study that was

40

00:01:50,480 --> 00:01:48,210

published in 84 which predicted

41

00:01:52,149 --> 00:01:50,490

commodities markets 21 out of 30 times

42

00:01:55,510 --> 00:01:52,159

correctly resulting a really high

43

00:02:01,300 --> 00:01:55,520

probability that it's not due to chance

44

00:02:04,370 --> 00:02:01,310

another study in 95 with target at all

45

00:02:07,969 --> 00:02:04,380

use to viewers each doing six sessions

46

00:02:10,479 --> 00:02:07,979

so 12:36

47

00:02:12,350 --> 00:02:10,489

I guess sessions so 12 of the sessions

48

00:02:15,590 --> 00:02:12,360

sorry 11 sessions were

49

00:02:17,330 --> 00:02:15,600

out of the 12 also resulting in a really

50

00:02:23,140 --> 00:02:17,340

higher probability that it was not due a

51
00:02:26,540 --> 00:02:23,150
chance and then Greg called jezik judges

52
00:02:27,950 --> 00:02:26,550
pronouncing that correctly over the

53
00:02:31,430 --> 00:02:27,960
years has conducted a lot of informal

54
00:02:34,580 --> 00:02:31,440
experiments being correct even he's than

55
00:02:37,540 --> 00:02:34,590
over 3600 of them and was correct almost

56
00:02:40,550 --> 00:02:37,550
2000 of this the sessions so that really

57
00:02:41,750 --> 00:02:40,560
results in a high pvalue as well and all

58
00:02:43,700 --> 00:02:41,760
this information is available on his

59
00:02:45,830 --> 00:02:43,710
website and I don't have that here but

60
00:02:47,090 --> 00:02:45,840
you can find it relatively easier he

61
00:02:47,300 --> 00:02:47,100
comes out to me after a result I'll let

62
00:02:50,960 --> 00:02:47,310
you know

63
00:02:52,460 --> 00:02:50,970

and then Jack calc wrote extensively on

64

00:02:53,960 --> 00:02:52,470

his website also about remote viewing

65

00:02:57,560 --> 00:02:53,970

and that's available for you to go check

66

00:02:58,820 --> 00:02:57,570

out as well as you can see using remote

67

00:03:01,100 --> 00:02:58,830

viewing to predict the stock market has

68

00:03:02,449 --> 00:03:01,110

been something that has been talked

69

00:03:03,980 --> 00:03:02,459

about for a while and this is just an

70

00:03:06,530 --> 00:03:03,990

example of an advertisement that you can

71

00:03:10,460 --> 00:03:06,540

find or see on the web and in some

72

00:03:12,890 --> 00:03:10,470

publications so let's talk a bit about

73

00:03:16,160 --> 00:03:12,900

the protocol and the way that we did our

74

00:03:17,440 --> 00:03:16,170

experiment we is similar to how most

75

00:03:20,120 --> 00:03:17,450

people do associate remote viewing

76

00:03:22,720 --> 00:03:20,130

experiments and there's really five

77

00:03:25,040 --> 00:03:22,730

steps so the first one is the tasking

78

00:03:29,090 --> 00:03:25,050

the second step would be the actual

79

00:03:30,890 --> 00:03:29,100

viewing the third the judging the force

80

00:03:33,500 --> 00:03:30,900

is when the transaction takes place and

81

00:03:35,810 --> 00:03:33,510

then the fifth is the feedback when you

82

00:03:39,259 --> 00:03:35,820

when you give the viewers the results

83

00:03:42,020 --> 00:03:39,269

and there are three main rules involved

84

00:03:44,090 --> 00:03:42,030

in experiment there was the Tasker but

85

00:03:47,270 --> 00:03:44,100

the viewer or viewers in our case and

86

00:03:49,400 --> 00:03:47,280

the judge and it's possible for the task

87

00:03:52,880 --> 00:03:49,410

and the judge to be the same although in

88

00:03:53,900 --> 00:03:52,890

our case they were to donate in depth

89

00:03:57,860 --> 00:03:53,910

about how we did I'm going to take you

90

00:04:00,320 --> 00:03:57,870

through one of our sessions so the task

91

00:04:02,690 --> 00:04:00,330

you chose before the actual session two

92

00:04:04,850 --> 00:04:02,700

different images one the keyboard in

93

00:04:07,160 --> 00:04:04,860

this case represented it was associated

94

00:04:09,440 --> 00:04:07,170

with the market being up at the end of

95

00:04:12,130 --> 00:04:09,450

day and the second image the earth was

96

00:04:15,789 --> 00:04:12,140

associated with the market being down

97

00:04:18,409 --> 00:04:15,799

after this was decided the Tasker then

98

00:04:20,569 --> 00:04:18,419

came to our class in this case

99

00:04:23,059 --> 00:04:20,579

and simply said draw the picture that we

100

00:04:25,730 --> 00:04:23,069

are gonna show you at the beginning of

101

00:04:29,029 --> 00:04:25,740

our next class and just based on the way

102

00:04:30,950 --> 00:04:29,039

that College schedules work sometimes I

103

00:04:32,510 --> 00:04:30,960

was two days and sometimes that was more

104

00:04:35,390 --> 00:04:32,520

like three days three or four days if

105

00:04:38,119 --> 00:04:35,400

there was a weekend and we were given

106

00:04:40,580 --> 00:04:38,129

five minutes to complete the session and

107

00:04:44,540 --> 00:04:40,590

we stayed in the group and so we all

108

00:04:46,129 --> 00:04:44,550

reviewing at the same time so then we

109

00:04:48,320 --> 00:04:46,139

viewed and this is an example of what

110

00:04:52,219 --> 00:04:48,330

one of those viewers drew and as you can

111

00:04:53,990 --> 00:04:52,229

see here there's a half circle and and

112

00:04:58,309 --> 00:04:54,000

it says here bright colors green red

113

00:05:00,379 --> 00:04:58,319

yellow and white and so then the next

114

00:05:02,899 --> 00:05:00,389

step the judging the judge took that and

115

00:05:05,119 --> 00:05:02,909

then examined that session compared it

116

00:05:07,429 --> 00:05:05,129

to the Associated images look for

117

00:05:09,529 --> 00:05:07,439

similarities between the session images

118

00:05:10,969 --> 00:05:09,539

and one of the in the two targets and

119

00:05:13,760 --> 00:05:10,979

insult which one it compared more

120

00:05:17,480 --> 00:05:13,770

closely to and then use that target to

121

00:05:20,089 --> 00:05:17,490

guide or an ad actually they did this

122

00:05:22,219 --> 00:05:20,099

for each of the sessions so whichever of

123

00:05:25,100 --> 00:05:22,229

the two images the Associated images had

124

00:05:26,899 --> 00:05:25,110

the most sessions that was sort of

125

00:05:28,850 --> 00:05:26,909

correlated with it we use that to

126

00:05:32,749 --> 00:05:28,860

predict how the market would actually

127

00:05:35,240 --> 00:05:32,759

perform the next day so in our case we

128

00:05:36,559 --> 00:05:35,250

had the keyboard and the planet keyboard

129

00:05:38,300 --> 00:05:36,569

representing up in the in the plan of

130

00:05:40,189 --> 00:05:38,310

the presenting down and then we had this

131

00:05:42,769 --> 00:05:40,199

session so the judge would look at these

132

00:05:45,679 --> 00:05:42,779

elements here the half circle the bright

133

00:05:47,420 --> 00:05:45,689

colors green yellow and white and and

134

00:05:48,409 --> 00:05:47,430

choose which category to put it in this

135

00:05:50,059 --> 00:05:48,419

one is little harder because there's

136

00:05:51,980 --> 00:05:50,069

also the square here which could be the

137

00:05:54,079 --> 00:05:51,990

keyboard but but I think the judge

138

00:05:59,869 --> 00:05:54,089

determined that these over reared that

139

00:06:01,510 --> 00:05:59,879

one and sure enough he assigned it to

140

00:06:05,719 --> 00:06:01,520

the down category

141

00:06:07,010 --> 00:06:05,729

so then majority of the sessions were

142

00:06:09,920 --> 00:06:07,020

associated the down category so the

143

00:06:13,850 --> 00:06:09,930

tester then bought options bought

144

00:06:15,230 --> 00:06:13,860

options on down category and then about

145

00:06:17,570 --> 00:06:15,240

in the morning in the mid servant in the

146

00:06:21,889 --> 00:06:17,580

in the afternoon before the close of the

147

00:06:23,719 --> 00:06:21,899

trading day and the next day gave us

148

00:06:26,869 --> 00:06:23,729

feedback and so the feedback is very

149

00:06:28,579 --> 00:06:26,879

important this is where the Tasker comes

150

00:06:29,960 --> 00:06:28,589

in and shows the image associated with

151
00:06:33,230 --> 00:06:29,970
the actual outcome of

152
00:06:35,270 --> 00:06:33,240
the stockmarket performed and only how

153
00:06:37,160 --> 00:06:35,280
the actual how come not not necessarily

154
00:06:40,730 --> 00:06:37,170
the image that the most images were

155
00:06:43,550 --> 00:06:40,740
associated with and it must take place

156
00:06:46,460 --> 00:06:43,560
at the expected time so that way when

157
00:06:48,260 --> 00:06:46,470
you're viewing originally they're

158
00:06:49,970 --> 00:06:48,270
tapping into that feedback event and it

159
00:06:53,780 --> 00:06:49,980
closes the feedback loop it's really

160
00:06:55,340 --> 00:06:53,790
important so in our case the actual mark

161
00:06:58,160 --> 00:06:55,350
the market actually performed down that

162
00:06:59,930 --> 00:06:58,170
day which was correlated with the planet

163
00:07:01,790 --> 00:06:59,940

this is so this is what we showed the

164

00:07:06,260 --> 00:07:01,800

viewers and it was a hit and we were

165

00:07:08,390 --> 00:07:06,270

successful so moving on I'm gonna show a

166

00:07:10,730 --> 00:07:08,400

few more sessions you can kind of get an

167

00:07:12,470 --> 00:07:10,740

idea of the quality of some of the work

168

00:07:15,980 --> 00:07:12,480

that these experience from our viewers

169

00:07:18,830 --> 00:07:15,990

were doing so here we have a session

170

00:07:21,890 --> 00:07:18,840

where the viewer drew this teletype

171

00:07:24,920 --> 00:07:21,900

object and described it as tall obvious

172

00:07:28,220 --> 00:07:24,930

shaped metal surrounded by an open space

173

00:07:31,790 --> 00:07:28,230

or field it's cold lonely got a person

174

00:07:34,820 --> 00:07:31,800

down here reminded them of a Washington

175

00:07:37,340 --> 00:07:34,830

Monument a ladder the radio tower in

176

00:07:39,100 --> 00:07:37,350

field or the Eiffel Tower and the judge

177

00:07:45,370 --> 00:07:39,110

had to choose between these two images a

178

00:07:47,420 --> 00:07:45,380

planet and the Statue of Liberty and so

179

00:07:49,460 --> 00:07:47,430

needs to say the judge put it in a

180

00:07:54,290 --> 00:07:49,470

category the Statue of Liberty which was

181

00:07:56,710 --> 00:07:54,300

a hit in this session the viewer drew a

182

00:07:59,210 --> 00:07:56,720

little rabbit looking thing here and

183

00:08:02,020 --> 00:07:59,220

some sort of flower type shape and

184

00:08:05,150 --> 00:08:02,030

described it as fuzzy furry

185

00:08:09,440 --> 00:08:05,160

Underground's reminding him of a small

186

00:08:10,820 --> 00:08:09,450

mammal and a coat rack top and so the

187

00:08:15,040 --> 00:08:10,830

judge had to choose between these two

188

00:08:18,050 --> 00:08:15,050

images a mountain biker and a hamster

189

00:08:19,700 --> 00:08:18,060

but means to say he's really confused

190

00:08:21,680 --> 00:08:19,710

about where this fit in and how to like

191

00:08:23,930 --> 00:08:21,690

I mean this element wasn't really

192

00:08:26,300 --> 00:08:23,940

present I mean it you know like really

193

00:08:28,640 --> 00:08:26,310

clearly needed these two images but upon

194

00:08:30,050 --> 00:08:28,650

closer inspection I thought that maybe

195

00:08:33,469 --> 00:08:30,060

it looked a little bit like the hamsters

196

00:08:36,140 --> 00:08:33,479

foot so it's possible to lead up to you

197

00:08:37,630 --> 00:08:36,150

decide but

198

00:08:40,010 --> 00:08:37,640

so if we look at the individual results

199

00:08:42,860 --> 00:08:40,020

annotated here where each column

200

00:08:45,950 --> 00:08:42,870

represents a viewer the green represents

201
00:08:48,079 --> 00:08:45,960
hits the red represents misses and the

202
00:08:49,370 --> 00:08:48,089
white represents either they weren't in

203
00:08:51,320 --> 00:08:49,380
attendance that day and didn't do the

204
00:08:52,430 --> 00:08:51,330
session or they were just too close to

205
00:08:54,860 --> 00:08:52,440
actually put in one of the two

206
00:08:57,350 --> 00:08:54,870
categories and as you can see this long

207
00:08:59,269 --> 00:08:57,360
more green than red here and if you if

208
00:09:02,269 --> 00:08:59,279
you look down here then that indicates

209
00:09:04,700 --> 00:09:02,279
that 47 of the 66 individual sessions

210
00:09:07,040 --> 00:09:04,710
were considered hits and that is pretty

211
00:09:09,320 --> 00:09:07,050
highly significant p-value of the

212
00:09:10,910 --> 00:09:09,330
probability of doing being due to chance

213
00:09:14,930 --> 00:09:10,920

being less than point zero zero three

214

00:09:16,010 --> 00:09:14,940

and however that wasn't exactly what we

215

00:09:17,990 --> 00:09:16,020

were trying to do we weren't trying to

216

00:09:20,000 --> 00:09:18,000

see how many sessions be right but

217

00:09:22,010 --> 00:09:20,010

rather we can predict the market in the

218

00:09:25,130 --> 00:09:22,020

seven different trials so this isn't the

219

00:09:29,660 --> 00:09:25,140

p-value that we were ultimately looking

220

00:09:31,940 --> 00:09:29,670

for so they this led to a number of

221

00:09:33,860 --> 00:09:31,950

different questions and so the first

222

00:09:36,410 --> 00:09:33,870

question is is the better to use one

223

00:09:38,630 --> 00:09:36,420

expert remote viewer or several

224

00:09:40,790 --> 00:09:38,640

inexperienced from over yours and if we

225

00:09:43,760 --> 00:09:40,800

take a look at our group results we can

226

00:09:45,980 --> 00:09:43,770

see that one viewer correctly predicted

227

00:09:47,510 --> 00:09:45,990

seven out of seven Charles but six

228

00:09:51,170 --> 00:09:47,520

correctly predicted five out of the

229

00:09:52,690 --> 00:09:51,180

seven to four to the seven and one was

230

00:09:57,110 --> 00:09:52,700

off so if you were to use just one

231

00:09:58,250 --> 00:09:57,120

remote viewer you could see that if you

232

00:10:00,380 --> 00:09:58,260

use this one you would you would do

233

00:10:03,230 --> 00:10:00,390

really well but if you use this one you

234

00:10:05,480 --> 00:10:03,240

wouldn't do so well so but when you

235

00:10:06,440 --> 00:10:05,490

activate all of them we actually ended

236

00:10:10,190 --> 00:10:06,450

up being correct

237

00:10:11,690 --> 00:10:10,200

you know all seven times so the second

238

00:10:13,880 --> 00:10:11,700

question it brings up is how can we

239

00:10:16,610 --> 00:10:13,890

improve the judging this might have been

240

00:10:18,079 --> 00:10:16,620

the hardest part for us and potentially

241

00:10:22,010 --> 00:10:18,089

the hardest part in a lot of associate

242

00:10:24,320 --> 00:10:22,020

remote viewing I imagine and as an

243

00:10:27,199 --> 00:10:24,330

example we had this session here which

244

00:10:30,650 --> 00:10:27,209

you had these crossed things separate

245

00:10:32,600 --> 00:10:30,660

items bell-shaped these curvy things

246

00:10:36,290 --> 00:10:32,610

that look like creepy fingers bent over

247

00:10:38,150 --> 00:10:36,300

Bowl fuzzy round object expanding from

248

00:10:41,540 --> 00:10:38,160

inside out and the judge had to choose

249

00:10:42,060 --> 00:10:41,550

between the Needle in Seattle or these

250

00:10:44,850 --> 00:10:42,070

these

251
00:10:46,860 --> 00:10:44,860
Rand's here and there's elements in both

252
00:10:48,720 --> 00:10:46,870
as you can see this kind of looks like

253
00:10:51,840 --> 00:10:48,730
the horns this kind of looks like the

254
00:10:53,100 --> 00:10:51,850
feet of the beetle and the judge put in

255
00:10:55,800 --> 00:10:53,110
the category the needle due mostly to

256
00:10:57,749 --> 00:10:55,810
this and the saucer thing but in

257
00:10:59,730 --> 00:10:57,759
actuality the Rams were the right one

258
00:11:02,040 --> 00:10:59,740
and so this is typically known as this

259
00:11:04,650 --> 00:11:02,050
displacement where you getting images of

260
00:11:06,420 --> 00:11:04,660
both of the two sessions and that's a

261
00:11:08,519 --> 00:11:06,430
problem and it's kind of hard to deal

262
00:11:11,610 --> 00:11:08,529
with so judging is actually pretty

263
00:11:13,949 --> 00:11:11,620

subjective so this improve judging is

264

00:11:16,400 --> 00:11:13,959

you can pick very different objects to

265

00:11:20,460 --> 00:11:16,410

avoid as much displacement as possible

266

00:11:22,110 --> 00:11:20,470

you can determine in advance what types

267

00:11:24,210 --> 00:11:22,120

of criteria are gonna outweigh other

268

00:11:28,069 --> 00:11:24,220

types etc and you can use multiple

269

00:11:30,150 --> 00:11:28,079

judges to further aggregate the results

270

00:11:32,189 --> 00:11:30,160

so the next question it brings up this

271

00:11:35,579 --> 00:11:32,199

how can we improve the transaction

272

00:11:37,259 --> 00:11:35,589

timing and this is important because as

273

00:11:38,759 --> 00:11:37,269

you can see this is the dow jones on an

274

00:11:41,999 --> 00:11:38,769

adjuster ran one of the days of our

275

00:11:44,790 --> 00:11:42,009

sessions and you know it starts down it

276
00:11:47,100 --> 00:11:44,800
actually goes up at about three o'clock

277
00:11:50,670 --> 00:11:47,110
before finally closing down

278
00:11:53,610 --> 00:11:50,680
so if our transaction time fluctuate a

279
00:11:56,069 --> 00:11:53,620
day to day it could be at 3 1 billion

280
00:11:59,449 --> 00:11:56,079
min a fourth day another day and it

281
00:12:04,259 --> 00:12:02,550
so that would that indicated us is that

282
00:12:07,079 --> 00:12:04,269
we need to spit pick specific

283
00:12:08,519 --> 00:12:07,089
transaction times another probably

284
00:12:10,259 --> 00:12:08,529
encountered is that we were trying to

285
00:12:12,090 --> 00:12:10,269
sell right before the the close of the

286
00:12:16,430 --> 00:12:12,100
day when everybody's trying to sell and

287
00:12:18,809 --> 00:12:16,440
so we ended up you know missing out on

288
00:12:21,120 --> 00:12:18,819

you know revenue which isn't really that

289

00:12:23,600 --> 00:12:21,130

important this experiment but yeah I

290

00:12:25,829 --> 00:12:23,610

think it's really important to be

291

00:12:30,900 --> 00:12:25,839

exactly sure when you're gonna sell and

292

00:12:32,309 --> 00:12:30,910

a little bit before like say for 30 some

293

00:12:34,500 --> 00:12:32,319

of the strengths in this study though is

294

00:12:36,379 --> 00:12:34,510

that we use multiple viewers and as far

295

00:12:38,819 --> 00:12:36,389

as I'm aware I don't know if this has

296

00:12:40,829 --> 00:12:38,829

been done before in the same way where

297

00:12:45,660 --> 00:12:40,839

we used several viewers and then I'm

298

00:12:47,100 --> 00:12:45,670

picking one on one task and I could be

299

00:12:49,080 --> 00:12:47,110

running that at someone let me know at

300

00:12:52,120 --> 00:12:49,090

the end of family

301
00:12:54,460 --> 00:12:52,130
we use real money which we think might

302
00:12:55,720 --> 00:12:54,470
have connected the viewers with the

303
00:12:57,010 --> 00:12:55,730
actual outcome of what they're trying to

304
00:12:58,690 --> 00:12:57,020
predict even though it's not necessarily

305
00:13:01,090 --> 00:12:58,700
that they even know what they're trying

306
00:13:02,380 --> 00:13:01,100
to predict and the study was a

307
00:13:06,360 --> 00:13:02,390
predetermined length of time which

308
00:13:08,830 --> 00:13:06,370
avoided viewer burnout and fatigue

309
00:13:10,000 --> 00:13:08,840
so it basically absolutely conclude was

310
00:13:13,510 --> 00:13:10,010
saying that our study was pretty

311
00:13:16,420 --> 00:13:13,520
successful where for the limited scope

312
00:13:18,640 --> 00:13:16,430
of it pretty successful with how it

313
00:13:20,770 --> 00:13:18,650

turned out and also given that there's

314

00:13:22,270 --> 00:13:20,780

only been a few handful studies before

315

00:13:25,360 --> 00:13:22,280

this and only a couple that have been

316

00:13:26,410 --> 00:13:25,370

published this is a really rich area for

317

00:13:28,330 --> 00:13:26,420

research tonight and I highly recommend

318

00:13:31,150 --> 00:13:28,340

that anybody take that on if they fill

319

00:13:36,040 --> 00:13:31,160

up to it and we're currently developing

320

00:13:38,860 --> 00:13:36,050

we go back there some new judging

321

00:13:40,030 --> 00:13:38,870

criteria and a schema that would track

322

00:13:43,990 --> 00:13:40,040

and wade viewers based on the

323

00:13:45,430 --> 00:13:44,000

performance over time and so when

324

00:13:47,410 --> 00:13:45,440

someone's really on for a streak of time

325

00:13:49,240 --> 00:13:47,420

maybe the session would be kind of a

326

00:13:50,980 --> 00:13:49,250

little bit more heavily than somebody

327

00:13:53,860 --> 00:13:50,990

who's traditionally not performing as

328

00:13:56,470 --> 00:13:53,870

well hey that's it thanks a lot for

329

00:14:01,830 --> 00:13:56,480

taking time with me on I think that some

330

00:14:15,910 --> 00:14:12,190

we have six minutes for questions I'd

331

00:14:19,150 --> 00:14:15,920

like a comment that I find it very

332

00:14:22,000 --> 00:14:19,160

interesting that you got good results

333

00:14:26,650 --> 00:14:22,010

with multiple viewers tasked at the same

334

00:14:28,660 --> 00:14:26,660

target event since a number of remote

335

00:14:31,420 --> 00:14:28,670

viewers I've talked to informally have

336

00:14:32,650 --> 00:14:31,430

had the opinion that having multiple

337

00:14:34,510 --> 00:14:32,660

people trying to look at the same

338

00:14:41,110 --> 00:14:34,520

outcome they interfere with each other

339

00:14:44,740 --> 00:14:41,120

and your accuracy goes down yeah I'm not

340

00:14:46,690 --> 00:14:44,750

aware of that in particular but that is

341

00:14:48,940 --> 00:14:46,700

interesting in it that's something that

342

00:14:51,160 --> 00:14:48,950

further research in the field could

343

00:14:52,990 --> 00:14:51,170

really you know sort of try to work out

344

00:14:55,590 --> 00:14:53,000

and if you could isolate for the

345

00:14:57,940 --> 00:14:55,600

different factors like that that would

346

00:15:00,610 --> 00:14:57,950

hi thanks for the presentation

347

00:15:03,220 --> 00:15:00,620

you're probably worth at Gregg to call

348

00:15:05,260 --> 00:15:03,230

it G Zak I think how you say it I think

349

00:15:06,850 --> 00:15:05,270

I can't have a pronounced rice always

350

00:15:09,120 --> 00:15:06,860

call him Greg k that's what we've called

351

00:15:12,970 --> 00:15:09,130

a okay he used a slightly different

352

00:15:14,230 --> 00:15:12,980

methodology college okay I used a

353

00:15:17,020 --> 00:15:14,240

slightly different methodology where he

354

00:15:19,000 --> 00:15:17,030

was the viewer and the judge and he

355

00:15:21,070 --> 00:15:19,010

would show himself the targets as soon

356

00:15:23,470 --> 00:15:21,080

as the session was done but only briefly

357

00:15:26,530 --> 00:15:23,480

and then a lot himself like a full

358

00:15:28,780 --> 00:15:26,540

couple minutes at the end of the day now

359

00:15:30,160 --> 00:15:28,790

some more traditional remote viewers

360

00:15:32,620 --> 00:15:30,170

thought you can't do it that way just

361

00:15:34,810 --> 00:15:32,630

because you know you'd see both targets

362

00:15:37,150 --> 00:15:34,820

but his results seemed to have been as

363

00:15:38,890 --> 00:15:37,160

good as anyone else who's done ARV what

364

00:15:40,780 --> 00:15:38,900

do you think about them yeah that's

365

00:15:42,580 --> 00:15:40,790

actually really interesting and I think

366

00:15:44,350 --> 00:15:42,590

that that's also a filler earlier

367

00:15:47,110 --> 00:15:44,360

research I think in our case that

368

00:15:48,880 --> 00:15:47,120

wouldn't work just because we have 10

369

00:15:50,410 --> 00:15:48,890

viewers and so you would still be

370

00:15:53,740 --> 00:15:50,420

interpreting I mean maybe if you

371

00:15:55,060 --> 00:15:53,750

interpreted your own each person

372

00:15:57,310 --> 00:15:55,070

interpret their own yeah I mean I guess

373

00:15:59,620 --> 00:15:57,320

I would that be a good thing to look

374

00:16:03,940 --> 00:15:59,630

into but yeah we we didn't design it

375

00:16:05,900 --> 00:16:03,950

that way yeah okay why ain't you rich or

376

00:16:08,519 --> 00:16:05,910

are you

377

00:16:12,180 --> 00:16:08,529

well I'm not rich because I didn't

378

00:16:15,240 --> 00:16:12,190

invest the money in the experiment but

379

00:16:18,090 --> 00:16:15,250

actually we were pretty financially

380

00:16:20,400 --> 00:16:18,100

successful with this and and i you know

381

00:16:21,960 --> 00:16:20,410

i i'd say you could be rich if you

382

00:16:23,490 --> 00:16:21,970

wanted to invest the time it's it's

383

00:16:26,190 --> 00:16:23,500

anybody as you can see we using

384

00:16:27,840 --> 00:16:26,200

inexperienced remote viewers can really

385

00:16:29,639 --> 00:16:27,850

do this and i'm not i'm surprised that

386

00:16:33,930 --> 00:16:29,649

not more people are or if they are

387

00:16:37,470 --> 00:16:33,940

they're not talking about it one day in

388

00:16:41,010 --> 00:16:37,480

larry gosse's book on premonitions he

389

00:16:43,620 --> 00:16:41,020

addresses this very issue and he claims

390

00:16:46,680 --> 00:16:43,630

that that all of the data that he's

391

00:16:49,470 --> 00:16:46,690

looked at if people are looking to use

392

00:16:53,460 --> 00:16:49,480

premonitions to get rich it doesn't work

393

00:17:00,660 --> 00:16:53,470

and if they're using it for you know

394

00:17:03,660 --> 00:17:00,670

like the to help somebody or have an

395

00:17:06,329 --> 00:17:03,670

altruistic kind of thing that it does

396

00:17:10,020 --> 00:17:06,339

and this seems to be at our licious

397

00:17:13,439 --> 00:17:10,030

premonitions it's similar what would you

398

00:17:15,000 --> 00:17:13,449

say about that did you get to keep the

399

00:17:15,390 --> 00:17:15,010

money nor did you do something else with

400

00:17:17,220 --> 00:17:15,400

it

401
00:17:18,449 --> 00:17:17,230
no actually none of the viewers got to

402
00:17:20,929 --> 00:17:18,459
keep the money they were treated to a

403
00:17:23,640 --> 00:17:20,939
all-you-can-eat sushi dinner afterwards

404
00:17:25,380 --> 00:17:23,650
but what i would say is that it's not

405
00:17:26,850 --> 00:17:25,390
actually important what you're viewing

406
00:17:29,640 --> 00:17:26,860
in fact the viewers need not even be

407
00:17:31,230 --> 00:17:29,650
aware of it all they're doing is viewing

408
00:17:34,460 --> 00:17:31,240
the target photo that they're going to

409
00:17:37,980 --> 00:17:34,470
be showing the next day however i think

410
00:17:44,190 --> 00:17:37,990
paul you do you have some just responded

411
00:17:50,020 --> 00:17:46,810
he's pretty rattled my computer back

412
00:17:51,310 --> 00:17:50,030
here the fact of the matter is that an

413
00:17:55,360 --> 00:17:51,320

ARB experiments that seems to be

414

00:17:56,650 --> 00:17:55,370

irrelevant there's been lots of these

415

00:17:59,050 --> 00:17:56,660

done informally just haven't been

416

00:18:00,850 --> 00:17:59,060

published and the majority of them have

417

00:18:03,010 --> 00:18:00,860

been successful financially and they

418

00:18:04,960 --> 00:18:03,020

have been done for profit not if it with

419

00:18:07,450 --> 00:18:04,970

any kind of altruistic purpose involved

420

00:18:10,090 --> 00:18:07,460

so I want to say one thing about great

421

00:18:13,750 --> 00:18:10,100

call Jesse his results actually weren't

422

00:18:16,450 --> 00:18:13,760

as good as most ARV experiments it's

423

00:18:19,390 --> 00:18:16,460

just he did so many of them that he has

424

00:18:30,270 --> 00:18:19,400

the the you know the feature of large

425

00:18:36,370 --> 00:18:32,470

okay I'd like to ask you about these

426

00:18:43,110 --> 00:18:36,380

images they were complicated could

427

00:18:48,610 --> 00:18:46,300

that's a good question I would think

428

00:18:51,430 --> 00:18:48,620

that the more complicated the more

429

00:18:53,830 --> 00:18:51,440

chance you are of finding elements that

430

00:18:57,310 --> 00:18:53,840

the correlate if you just had a circle

431

00:18:58,390 --> 00:18:57,320

and then somebody drew a square and the

432

00:18:59,860 --> 00:18:58,400

scissors that you would choose the

433

00:19:01,450 --> 00:18:59,870

associate injures were a triangle and

434

00:19:03,820 --> 00:19:01,460

it's in a circle and they drew a square

435

00:19:05,410 --> 00:19:03,830

what are you gonna do with that if you

436

00:19:07,780 --> 00:19:05,420

know I mean you can't put it in here

437

00:19:09,580 --> 00:19:07,790

and I think when you have more complex

438

00:19:12,330 --> 00:19:09,590

images there are features of it that you

439

00:19:15,130 --> 00:19:12,340

can sort of nuance out of it and can

440

00:19:17,230 --> 00:19:15,140

more easily place in a category but

441

00:19:19,840 --> 00:19:17,240

maybe somebody has more experience with

442

00:19:22,900 --> 00:19:19,850

this one yeah

443

00:19:25,180 --> 00:19:22,910

Ryan would here I did a an associative

444

00:19:28,720 --> 00:19:25,190

remote viewing experiment with lotteries

445

00:19:31,870 --> 00:19:28,730

in like 1990 I had one viewer did this

446

00:19:33,870 --> 00:19:31,880

whole experiments of one viewer and it

447

00:19:37,960 --> 00:19:33,880

was easy to get to be statistically

448

00:19:39,790 --> 00:19:37,970

significant but basically broke even all

449

00:19:40,900 --> 00:19:39,800

the time but to be financially

450

00:19:45,130 --> 00:19:40,910

significant which other people have

451
00:19:47,230 --> 00:19:45,140
talked about seem very difficult I guess

452
00:19:49,880 --> 00:19:47,240
my question other people brought it up

453
00:19:52,640 --> 00:19:49,890
is you know

454
00:19:54,110 --> 00:19:52,650
are you a betting and a real money is it

455
00:19:57,650 --> 00:19:54,120
make a difference for anybody you know

456
00:19:59,660 --> 00:19:57,660
\$1,000 here there millions tens of

457
00:20:02,840 --> 00:19:59,670
millions this is where it's got to go to

458
00:20:04,580 --> 00:20:02,850
resolve these issues maybe Paul knows of

459
00:20:07,940 --> 00:20:04,590
people that done tens of millions of

460
00:20:09,620 --> 00:20:07,950
dollars and had success I could speak a

461
00:20:12,710 --> 00:20:09,630
little bit to that we actually use real

462
00:20:14,540 --> 00:20:12,720
money and and it wasn't millions of

463
00:20:15,920 --> 00:20:14,550

dollars it was it was more like ten

464

00:20:18,680 --> 00:20:15,930

thousand dollars it was our seed money

465

00:20:20,990 --> 00:20:18,690

but and I know that the put off

466

00:20:23,360 --> 00:20:21,000

experiment used I think twenty thousand

467

00:20:24,680 --> 00:20:23,370

thereabouts and I and I think there is

468

00:20:27,260 --> 00:20:24,690

some indication that using real money

469

00:20:31,850 --> 00:20:27,270

versus not real money does make an

470

00:20:34,220 --> 00:20:31,860

impact I'm not really sure if the amount